

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY

WEEKLY REPORT

- 585 National, State, and Urban Area Vaccination Coverage Levels Among Children Aged 19–35 Months — United States, 1999
- 589 Prevalence of Intimate Partner Violence and Injuries — Washington, 1998
- 593 Update: Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests for Tuberculosis
- 603 Notice to Readers

National, State, and Urban Area Vaccination Coverage Levels Among Children Aged 19–35 Months — United States, 1999

Childhood vaccinations have a major impact on the reduction and elimination of many causes of morbidity and mortality among children (1). Monitoring vaccination coverage levels is necessary to characterize undervaccinated populations and to evaluate the effectiveness of efforts to increase coverage. The National Immunization Survey (NIS) provides ongoing national estimates of vaccination coverage among children aged 19–35 months based on data for the most recent 12 months for each of the 50 states and 28 geographic areas (2). This report presents the findings of the 1999 NIS*, which indicate that vaccination coverage among U.S. children aged 19–35 months were at or near record high levels.

To collect vaccination information for all age-eligible children, NIS uses a quarterly random-digit–dialing sample of telephone numbers for each survey area. During 1999, 33,548 household interviews were completed, representing 34,442 children. The response rate for eligible households for the 78 survey areas was 66.3%. Following the interviews and with parental/guardian consent, data accuracy was verified from vaccination providers. Children with provider data were weighted to represent all children surveyed and to account for nonresponding households, changes in natality patterns, and lower vaccination coverage among children in households without telephones (2).

In 1999, national vaccination coverage for three doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine (DTP) was 95.9%; for three doses of poliovirus vaccine, 89.6%; for three doses of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine (Hib), 93.5%; for one dose of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR), 91.5%; for three doses of hepatitis B vaccine (HepB), 88.1%; and for one dose of varicella vaccine (VAR), 59.4%.

From 1998 to 1999, national coverage with the combined vaccination series 4:3:1 (four doses of DTP, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of measlescontaining vaccine) and with 4:3:1:3 (4:3:1 series and three doses of Hib) did not change significantly (Table 1). Coverage with VAR increased from 43% in 1998 to 59% in 1999 (Table 1).

In 1999, state-specific coverage for the 4:3:1 series ranged from 70% to 91%, and the 4:3:1:3 series ranged from 69% to 91% (Table 2). For selected urban areas, coverage ranged from 67% to 87% for the 4:3:1 series and from 63% to 87% for the 4:3:1:3 series (Table 2).

^{*}For this reporting period (January-December 1999), NIS included children born during February 1996-May 1998.

Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

						1.				
	1995*		1996†			1997§		<u>1998</u> ¶		999**
Vaccine/Dose	%	(95% CI ⁺⁺)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)	%	(95% CI)
DTP ^{§§}										
3 Doses	94.7	(±0.6)	95.0	(±0.4)	95.5	(±0.4)	95.6	(±0.5)	95.9	(±0.4)
4 Doses	78.5	(±1.0)	81.1	(±0.7)	81.5	(±0.7)	83.9	(±0.8)	83.3	(±0.8)
Poliovirus										
3 Doses	87.9	(±0.8)	91.1	(±0.5)	90.8	(±0.5)	90.8	(±0.7)	89.6	(±0.6)
Hib ^{¶¶}										
3 Doses	91.7	(±0.6)	91.7	(±0.5)	92.7	(±0.5)	93.4	(±0.6)	93.5	(±0.5)
MMR***										
1 Dose	87.8	(±0.7)	90.7	(±0.5)	90.5	(±0.7)	92.0	(±0.6)	91.5	(±0.6)
Hepatitis B										
3 Doses	68.0	(±1.0)	81.8	(±0.7)	83.7	(±0.6)	87.0	(±0.7)	88.1	(±0.7)
Varicella										
1 Dose	NA	ttt	NA		25.9	(±0.7)	43.2	(±1.0)	59.4	(±1.0)
Combined series										
4 DTP/3 Polio/1 MCV ^{§§§}	76.2	(±1.0)	78.4	(±0.8)	77.9	(±0.7)	80.6	(±0.9)	79.9	(±0.8)
4 DTP/3 Polio/1 MCV/3 Hib ^{¶¶¶}	74.2	(±1.0)	76.5	(±0.8)	76.2	(±0.8)	79.2	(±0.9)	78.4	(±0.9)

TABLE 1.	Vaccination	coverage l	evels among	children ag	ed 19–35	months, b	y
selected v	vaccines — N	ational Imn	nunization S	urvey, United	d States,	1995–1999	

Children in this survey period were born during February 1992-May 1994.

[†] Children in this survey period were born during February 1993–May 1995. [§] Children in this survey period were born during February 1994–May 1996.

¹ Children in this survey period were born during February 1995–May 1997.

** Children in this survey period were born during February 1996–May 1998.

^{††} Confidence interval.

^{§§} Includes diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine (DTP), diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DT), and diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine.

" Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccine (Hib).

*** Previous reports of vaccination coverage were for measles-containing vaccine (MCV); the above reflects coverage with measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR).

⁺⁺⁺ Data not available in this reporting period. Data collection for varicella vaccine began July 1996.

^{\$\$\$} Four doses of DTP/DT, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of MCV.

^{¶¶} Four doses of DTP/DT, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, one dose of MCV, and three doses of Hib.

Reported by: National Center for Health Statistics; Assessment Br, Data Management Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

Editorial Note: National coverage for routinely recommended childhood vaccines has increased substantially since 1993, when the Childhood Immunization Initiative (CII) was implemented by the federal government (3). The findings in this report indicate that national coverage for the recommended vaccines remain at or near record high levels. However, this coverage level cannot ensure protection for children born during or after 1999 even though levels observed in 1999 demonstrate the feasibility of attaining high coverage. Achieving and sustaining the national health objectives for 2010 vaccination coverage and disease-elimination (4) will require developing a functional vaccine-delivery system. This effort will require collaboration between national, state, local, private, and public partners.

A comprehensive vaccine-delivery system that would achieve and maintain high vaccination coverage levels (5) and low morbidity in children born during or after 1999 should consist of three components. These components are 1) state- and communitybased computerized vaccination registries that include all children from birth, that can identify children needing vaccination, and can recall them for missed vaccinations (6); 2) ongoing quality-assurance and information-feedback activities (7); and 3) education programs for parents and health-care providers.

Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

	4:3:1		4::	3:1:3
State/Urban area	%	(95% CI [¶])	%	(95% CI)
Alabama	79.7	(±4.5)	78.4	(±4.6)
Jefferson Co.	86.6	(± 4.4)	85.2	(±4.6)
Rest of state	78.5	(±5.2)	77.2	(±5.3)
Alaska	82.2	(±4.7)	80.1	(±4.8)
Arizona	73.9	(±4.5)	72.4	(±4.6)
Maricopa Co.	71.7	(±6.4)	71.0	(±6.4)
Rest of state	77.5	(±5.7)	74.8	(±5.9)
Arkansas	78.5	(±5.8)	77.1	(±5.8)
California	78.3	(±3.5)	75.3	(±3.6)
Los Angeles Co.	78.1	(±5.6)	76.0	(±5.7)
San Diego Co.	76.6	(± 5.4)	74.5	(±5.6)
Santa Clara Co.	84.3	(+4.3)	81.8	(+4.6)
Rest of state	78.1	(+5.4)	74.4	(+5.6)
Colorado	77.2	(+5.2)	75.8	(+5.3)
Connecticut	87 1	(± 4.4)	85.9	(± 4.6)
Delaware	80.0	(± 5.0)	78.2	(+5.1)
District of Columbia	78 5	(± 5.0)	77.5	(+5.4)
Florida	82.0	(+4.1)	80.3	(+4.2)
Dade Co	86.7	(± 4.5)	84.0	(±4.2) (+5.0)
Duval Co	79.1	(+1.9)	77 7	(±5.0)
Best of state	81 3	(+5.2)	79.8	(±5.1)
Georgia	83.1	(±4.3)	91 Q	(± 0.0)
Fulton/DeKalb.cos	86.4	(± 4.5)	83.4	(± 4.4)
Best of state	82.3	(±5.2)	81 F	(±4.0) (±5.3)
Hawaii	82.5	(± 3.2)	81.6	(±0.5)
Idaho	70.0	(±4.7) (±5.5)	69.4	(±4.0) (±5.5)
Illinois	70.0	(± 0.5)	77 /	(± 0.5)
Chicago	70.0 72.2	(±4.1) (±6.1)	71.4	(± 4.2)
Post of state	/ J.Z 01 0	(±0.1)	71.4	(± 0.2)
	75 /	(±5.3)	73.0	(± 5.4)
Marian Co	70.4	(±5.0)	74.3	(± 5.0)
Post of state	79.7	(±5.0)	79.1	(± 5.6)
	74.0 04 E	(± 3.0)	/ 3.3	(± 0.5)
Kanaaa	04.0	(± 4.4)	70 0	(± 4.5)
Kantuaku	/9./ 00 C	(± 4.9)	70.9	(± 4.9)
	00.0	(± 4.4)	07.0	(± 4.5)
Orleana Pariah	70.9	(±4.7)	70.0	(± 4.7)
Diredits Falisii Post of state	72.0	(±0.0)	71.5	(± 5.5)
Moine	//.J	(± 0.3)	//.5	(±5.3)
Mandand	04. I 00 E	(± 4.0)	02.9	(± 5.0)
Reltine and	80.5 72.2	(± 4.2)	79.4	(± 4.3)
Baltimore	/3.2	(±0.0)	/ 1.9	(± 0.8)
Rest of state	01.0	(±4.8)	80.7	(± 4.9)
Massachusetts	87.3	(±3.9)	85.2	(±4.4)
	00.1	(±5.1)	83.6 05.0	(±5.8)
	8/.4	(±4.3)	85.3	(±4.8)
iviicnigan	/5.9	(±4.8)	/4.4	(±4.9)
Detroit	66.9	(±6.5)	66.4	(±6.5)
Rest of state	//.2	(±5.4)	/5.6	(±5.5)

TABLE 2. Estimated vaccination coverage with the 4	4:3:1* and 4:3:1:3 ⁺ series
among children aged 19-35 months, by state and	selected urban areas —
National Immunization Survey, United States, 1999 [§]	

* Four doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of measles-containing vaccine (MCV).
 [†] Four doses of any diphteria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, one dose of MCV, and three doses of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine.
 [§] Children in this survey period were born during February 1996–May 1998.
 [§] Confidence interval.

Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

	4	:3:1	4:3	3:1:3
State/Urban area	%	(95% CI [¶])	%	(95% CI)
Minnesota	87.0	(±4.8)	85.2	(±5.1)
Mississippi	81.7	(±5.4)	81.7	(±5.4)
Missouri	75.5	(±5.2)	75.0	(±5.2)
Montana	84.8	(±4.4)	82.5	(±4.6)
Nebraska	83.7	(±4.5)	81.8	(±4.8)
Nevada	73.4	(±5.3)	73.1	(±5.4)
New Hampshire	84.5	(±4.7)	84.5	(±4.7)
New Jersey	80.9	(±5.0)	80.8	(±5.0)
Newark	68.7	(±8.0)	66.5	(±8.0)
Rest of state	81.5	(±5.3)	81.5	(±5.3)
New Mexico	75.6	(±5.9)	73.0	(±6.1)
New York	83.4	(±3.3)	81.0	(±3.5)
New York City	81.5	(±5.1)	78.3	(±5.3)
Rest of state	85.0	(±4.2)	83.3	(±4.5)
North Carolina	81.8	(±5.0)	81.8	(±5.0)
North Dakota	83.0	(±4.5)	80.4	(±4.8)
Ohio	79.1	(±4.0)	78.1	(±4.0)
Cuyahoga Co.	74.6	(±5.6)	73.5	(±5.7)
Franklin Co.	79.1	(±5.1)	77.9	(±5.1)
Rest of state	79.9	(±5.0)	78.9	(±5.1)
Oklahoma	74.0	(±5.7)	72.9	(±5.7)
Oregon	73.2	(±5.9)	72.3	(±6.0)
Pennsylvania	86.6	(±3.7)	86.0	(±3.7)
Philadelphia	82.7	(±4.7)	81.3	(±4.9)
Rest of state	87.3	(±4.2)	86.8	(±4.3)
Rhode Island	90.4	(±3.9)	87.4	(±4.6)
South Carolina	81.1	(±4.7)	80.6	(±4.8)
South Dakota	83.4	(±4.5)	81.7	(±4.7)
Tennessee	79.5	(±3.8)	77.7	(±3.9)
Davidson Co.	75.4	(±5.5)	73.3	(±5.6)
Shelby Co.	76.5	(±5.5)	75.0	(±5.6)
Rest of state	81.0	(±5.3)	79.2	(±5.4)
Texas	74.7	(±3.6)	72.4	(±3.7)
Bexar Co.	70.2	(±6.2)	69.9	(±6.2)
Houston	66.5	(±6.8)	63.3	(±7.0)
Dallas Co.	76.0	(±6.5)	71.6	(±6.9)
El Paso Co.	75.0	(±5.2)	72.7	(±5.5)
Rest of state	76.5	(±5.3)	74.5	(±5.4)
Utah	81.7	(±5.1)	80.2	(±5.3)
Vermont	90.7	(±3.5)	90.5	(±3.5)
Virginia	81.6	(±5.2)	80.3	(±5.3)
Washington	76.5	(±3.9)	74.9	(±4.0)
King Co.	78.5	(±5.3)	77.4	(±5.4)
Rest of state	75.8	(±5.0)	74.0	(±5.2)
West Virginia	82.1	(±4.7)	81.0	(±4.8)
Wisconsin	85.4	(±3.3)	84.5	(±3.4)
Milwaukee Co.	75.3	(±6.2)	74.1	(±6.3)
Rest of state	88.2	(±3.8)	87.6	(±3.9)
Wyoming	83.5	(±4.9)	82.8	(±4.9)
Overall	79.9	(±0.8)	78.4	(±0.9)

TABLE 2. Estimated vaccination coverage with the 4:3:1* and 4:3:1:3[†] series among children aged 19-35 months, by state and selected urban areas -National Immunization Survey, United States, 1999[§] — Continued

* Four doses of any diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, and one dose of measles-containing vaccine (MCV).
 [†] Four doses of any diphteria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccine, three doses of poliovirus vaccine, one dose of MCV, and three doses of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b vaccine.
 [§] Children in this survey period were born during February 1996–May 1998.

[¶] Confidence interval.

Vaccination Coverage Levels — Continued

High coverage levels are necessary to maintain and reduce illness, disability, and death associated with vaccine-preventable diseases. Assessment of vaccination coverage levels is an important component of the U.S. immunization program. To maintain the integrity and reliability of the national immunization system, a core surveillance effort that includes immunization coverage levels is essential (8). NIS is the primary source of vaccination coverage data among U.S. preschool-aged children (5). NIS should continue to characterize at-risk children and evaluate the effectiveness of programs designed to increase coverage.

References

- 1. CDC. Impact of vaccines universally recommended for children—United States, 1990–1998. MMWR 1999;48:243–8.
- Zell ER, Ezzati-Rice TM, Battaglia MP, Wright RA. National Immunization Survey: the methodology of a vaccination surveillance system. Public Health Rep 2000;115:65–77.
- CDC. Reported vaccine-preventable diseases—United States, 1993, and the Childhood Immunization Initiative. MMWR 1994;43:57–60.
- 4. US Department of Health and Human Services. In: Healthy people 2010 (conference ed, 2 vols). Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.
- 5. The National Vaccine Advisory Committee. Strategies to sustain success in childhood immunizations. JAMA 1999;282:363–70.
- Cordero JF, Orenstein WA. The future of immunization registries. Am J Prev Med 1997; 13(suppl):S122–S124.
- 7. LeBaron CW, Chaney M, Baughman AL, et al. Impact of measurement and feedback on vaccination coverage in public clinics, 1988–1994. JAMA 1997;277:631–5.
- Institute of Medicine. Calling the shots. Immunization finance policies and practices. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000:67.

Prevalence of Intimate Partner Violence and Injuries — Washington, 1998

Approximately 20% of emergency department visits for trauma and 25% of homicides of women involve intimate partner violence (IPV) (1,2). To assess IPV prevalence in Washington, the Washington State Department of Health added questions from the Conflict Tactics Scale (3) and the Revised Conflict Tactics Scale (4) to its 1998 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey. This report describes an analysis of responses to the questions, which indicated that women were more likely than men to experience IPV in their lifetime, and more than three times more likely than men to experience injuries from IPV.

BRFSS is an ongoing, state-based, random-digit-dialed telephone survey of the U.S. civilian, noninstitutionalized population aged \geq 18 years that collects information about modifiable risk factors for chronic diseases and leading causes of death. In 1998, 3604 persons responded to the Washington BRFSS. Because the questions were considered sensitive, permission was asked before beginning the IPV section, and 3381 (93.5%) gave permission. Only English-speaking persons were respondents. The survey response rate was 61.4%.

Respondents were asked whether they had experienced IPV during their lifetime (i.e., kicked, bit, or hit with fist; hit or tried to hit with something; beat up; threatened with gun or knife; or used gun or knife) and whether they had sustained physical injury (sprain, bruise, or small cut; physical pain the next day; passed out from being hit on head; went

Intimate Partner Violence — Continued

to doctor; needed to see doctor but didn't; or broken bone) resulting from IPV. An intimate partner was defined as a current or former spouse, live-in partner, boyfriend, girlfriend, or date. Some respondents might have referred to a same-sex partner; the sex of the partner was not asked. Responses were weighted for selection probability by the number of adults and telephone numbers in the household, and whether the number was drawn from a block of 100 numbers containing at least one or no listed number. Responses also were weighted to approximate the Washington population on the basis of the respondents' age and sex.

In 1998, of approximately 2,113,000 women aged \geq 18 who resided in Washington (5), approximately 499,000 (23.6%) (95% confidence interval [CI]=453,000–545,000) experienced IPV during their lives, and 456,000 (21.6%) women (95% CI=410,000–502,000) had a physical injury resulting from IPV. Of the 2,049,000 men (5), approximately 336,000 (16.4%) (95% CI=289,000–383,000) experienced IPV and approximately 154,000 (7.5%) (95% CI=121,000–187,000) experienced injury from IPV (Table 1). Multivariate logistic regressions were conducted to identify the levels of lifetime risk associated with sex, education, income, and marital status. Odds ratios (ORs) for education, income, and marital status were similar for men and women; therefore, data for both sexes were combined (Table 2).

Compared with never married status, divorced/separated status was associated with an almost three-fold increase in the risk for reported IPV (OR=2.7; 95% Cl=1.9–4.0) and a four-fold increase in the risk for injury from IPV (OR=4.0; 95% Cl=2.7–6.1); 45.3% of divorced/separated women reported an injury from an intimate partner. Low education level also was associated with increased risk for IPV (OR=1.4; 95% Cl=1.1–1.8) and injury from IPV (OR=1.4; 95% Cl=1.1–1.8) and injury from IPV (OR=1.6; 95% Cl=1.2–2.2); however, the association between low income and injury from IPV was not significant (OR=1.3; 95% Cl=0.9–1.9).

Reported by: L Bensley, PhD, S Macdonald, PhD, J Van Eenwyk, PhD, Acting State Epidemiologist, Office of Epidemiology; K Wynkoop Simmons, PhD, D Ruggles, MBA, Washington State Dept of Health. Family and Intimate Violence Prevention Team, Div of Violence Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, CDC.

Editorial Note: This report indicates that IPV in Washington is more prevalent among women than men. Other studies have found that women have similar or higher IPV rates than men but that women are more likely to sustain injury (3,6–8). Although low education and income levels are risk factors for reported IPV, 17.6% of women with incomes of \geq \$50,000 per year and 20.2% of women with at least some college education reported injuries as a result of IPV. In addition, divorced/separated respondents were more likely to report violence than married, widowed, or never married respondents.

The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, the study was limited by its dependence on self-reports, which might be inaccurate because of recall bias or unwillingness to report. Second, this study did not include persons without telephones or persons who did not speak English. Third, because of their cross-sectional nature, the results do not provide evidence of causal relations (e.g., IPV may have been the cause of divorce or may have occurred during the divorce process).

Identification of IPV is difficult because of its private and sensitive nature. Interventions may include strategies to increase IPV recognition, and should occur in varied settings (e.g., health-care, criminal justice, and school systems) and with varied approaches, including IPV screening protocols by health-care providers (9), school programs teaching conflict resolution, public education campaigns regarding the

Intimate Partner Violence — Continued

		Women			Men	
Experience	No.	Prevalence	(95% Cl ⁺)	No.	Prevalence	(95% CI)
Event						
Kicked, bit, hit with fist	395	19.7%	(17.6–21.8)	187	12.0%	(9.9–14.2)
Hit or tried to hit with something	330	17.4%	(15.3–19.5)	187	12.0%	(11.1–12.9)
Beat up	257	13.0%	(11.2–14.8)	27	1.8%	(1.1– 2.5)
Threatened with gun or knife	164	8.1%	(6.6– 9.6)	51	3.3%	(2.8–3.8)
Used gun or knife	59	3.2%	(2.2-4.2)	27	2.0%	(1.1-2.9)
Any event	475	23.6%	(21.4–25.8)	249	16.4%	(14.0–18.8)
Injury						
Sprain, bruise, or small cut	369	18.8%	(17.7–19.9)	93	6.2%	(4.7–7.7)
Physical pain the next day	369	18.5%	(16.4–20.6)	86	5.5%	(4.2-6.8)
Pass out from being hit on head	66	4.2%	(2.9-5.5)	14	1.1%	(0.3– 1.9)
Gone to doctor	151	7.4%	(6.0- 8.8)	19	1.3%	(0.7- 1.9)
Needed to see doctor, but didn't	140	7.5%	(6.0- 9.0)	19	1.4%	(0.6- 2.2)
Broken bone	59	3.2%	(2.2-4.2)	8	0.6%	(0.2- 1.0)
Any injury	422	21.6%	(19.4–23.8)	114	7.5%	(5.9–9.1)

TABLE 1. Lifetime experiences of intimate partner violence	and injury, by sex* -
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, Washington,	1998

* All sex differences are significant at p<0.01 except "used gun or knife," which was not statistically significant.

[†] Confidence interval.

TABLE 2. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR)* of reporting ever experiencing intimatepartner violence (IPV) or injury, by selected characteristics — Behavioral RiskFactor Surveillance System, Washington, 1998

		Eve	r IPV			Ever in	jured	
Risk factor	No.	Prevalence	AOR	(95% CI⁺)	No.	Prevalence	AOR	(95% CI)
Sex								
Women	397	24.3%	1.6	(1.2–2.0)	352	21.5%	3.6	(2.7–4.7)
Men	221	16.7%	1.0	(referent)	101	7.1%	1.0	(referent)
Education								
≤High school graduate	239	24.7%	1.4	(1.1–1.8)	167	16.6%	1.4	(1.04–1.8)
Some college or								
college graduate	379	18.2%	1.0	(referent)	286	12.9%	1.0	(referent)
Household income								
<\$25,000	205	27.8%	1.6	(1.2–2.2)	161	19.1%	1.3	(0.9–1.9)
\$25,000-\$49,999	249	19.6%	1.1	(0.9–1.5)	179	14.2%	1.2	(0.9–1.6)
≥\$50,000	164	16.4%	1.0	(referent)	113	10.9%	1.0	(referent)
Current marital/partner status								
Married or living with partner	274	17.1%	1.1	(0.8–1.5)	175	10.8%	1.2	(0.7–1.6)
Divorced/separated	217	37.9%	2.7	(1.9–4.0)	186	32.9%	4.0	(2.7–6.1)
Widowed	27	12.1%	0.8	(0.4–1.4)	22	10.7%	1.2	(0.6–2.4)
Never married	100	20.4%	1.0	(referent)	70	12.1%	1.0	(referent)
Overall	618	20.5%			453	14.2%		

* All odds ratios control for age at time of survey and other risk factors. Total numbers and frequencies of men and women reporting IPV and injury from IPV differ from Table 1 because respondents with missing data on any of the measures used in this analysis were excluded (e.g., 14% of respondents to the survey did not answer the question about income).

[†] Confidence interval.

Intimate Partner Violence — Continued

unacceptability of IPV, and information about community resources such as shelters and counseling for battered women. Other interventions may include treatment of offenders (10); interventions for children who witness IPV; and efforts to make the criminal justice system more responsive to victims by reforming laws, providing victim advocates, and training police, prosecutorial, and court personnel. Although most of these approaches have shown some success, rigorous evaluations of these interventions are needed to determine their effectiveness.

This report underscores the usefulness of BRFSS for collecting data about IPV, although IPV questions are not asked routinely on BRFSS. State and national efforts to plan and evaluate programs to lower IPV rates would benefit from more widespread use of IPV items on BRFSS surveys. Standardizing questions would facilitate comparisons between geographic regions. Questions assessing IPV have been developed by CDC for potential use in BRFSS and soon will be pilot tested in several states. IPV is a new area of public health but one that affects many persons. Continued surveillance and well-evaluated and effective programs are needed to prevent IPV.

References

- 1. US Department of Justice. Uniform crime reports, 1995. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, 1996.
- Rand M, Strom K. Violence-related injuries treated in hospital emergency departments, Bureau of Justice Statistics special report. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, August 1997; publication NCJ-156921.
- 3. Straus MA, Gelles RJ. Physical violence in American families: risk factors and adaptation to violence in 8,145 families. New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction Publishing, 1990.
- Straus MA, Hamby SL, Boney-McCoy S, Sugarman DB. The revised Conflict Tactics Scales (CTS2) development and preliminary psychometric data. J Family Issues 1996;17: 283–316.
- Washington State Office of Financial Management. Washington state adjusted population estimates. Olympia, Washington: Washington State Office of Financial Management, 1999.
- Tjaden P, Thoennes N. Prevalence, incidence, and consequences of violence against women: findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, 1998; report no. NCJ 172837.
- 7. Greenfeld LA, Rand MR, Craven D, et al. Violence by intimates: analysis of data on crimes by current or former spouses, boyfriends, and girlfriends. Bureau of Justice statistics factbook. Washington, DC: US Department of Justice, 1998.
- 8. CDC. Physical violence and injuries in intimate relationships—New York, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1994. MMWR 1996;45:765–7.
- 9. McLeer SV, Anwar R. A study of battered women presenting in an emergency department. Am J Pub Health 1989;79:65–6.
- 10. Gondolf EW. Patterns of reassault in batterer programs. Violence and Victims 1997;12: 373–87.

Notice to Readers

Update: Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests for Tuberculosis

On September 30, 1999, the Food and Drug Administration approved a reformulated Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct Test* (MTD) (Gen-Probe®, San Diego, California) for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in acid-fast bacilli (AFB) smearpositive and smear-negative respiratory specimens from patients suspected of having tuberculosis (TB). MTD and one other nucleic acid amplification (NAA) test, the Amplicor® Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Test (Amplicor) (Roche® Diagnostic Systems, Inc., Branchburg, New Jersey), previously had been approved for the direct detection of *M. tuberculosis* in respiratory specimens that have positive AFB smears. This notice updates the original summary published in 1996 (1) and provides suggestions for using and interpreting NAA test results for managing patients suspected of having TB.

The appropriate number of specimens to test with NAA will vary depending on the clinical situation, the prevalence of TB, the prevalence of nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM), and laboratory proficiency (*2,3*). Based on available information, the following algorithm is a reasonable approach to NAA testing of respiratory specimens from patients with signs or symptoms of active pulmonary TB for whom a presumed diagnosis has not been established.

Algorithm

- 1. Collect sputum specimens on 3 different days for AFB smear and mycobacterial culture.
- 2. Perform NAA test on the first sputum specimen collected, the first smear-positive sputum specimen, and additional sputum specimens as indicated below.
 - a. If the first sputum specimen is smear-positive and NAA-positive, the patient can be presumed to have TB without additional NAA testing. However, unless concern exists about the presence of NTM, the NAA test adds little to the diagnostic workup.
 - b. If the first sputum is smear-positive and NAA-negative, a test for inhibitors should be done. The inhibitor test can be done as an option with Amplicor. To test for inhibitors of MTD, spike an aliquot of the lysated sputum sample with lysed *M. tuberculosis* (approximately 10 organisms per reaction, or an equivalent amount of *M. tuberculosis* rRNA) and repeat the test starting with amplification.
 - If inhibitors are not detected, additional specimens (not to exceed a total of three) should be tested. The patient can be presumed to have NTM if a second sputum specimen is smear-positive, NAA-negative, and has no inhibitors detected.
 - 2. If inhibitors are detected, the NAA test is of no diagnostic help. Additional specimens (not to exceed a total of three) can be tested with NAA.
 - c. If sputum is smear-negative and MTD-positive[†], additional specimens (not to exceed three) should be tested with MTD. The patient can be **presumed to have TB** if a subsequent specimen is MTD-positive.

^{*}Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not constitute endorsement by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

[†] Amplicor is not approved for use with smear-negative samples.

Notices to Readers — Continued

- d. If sputum is smear-negative and MTD-negative[†], an additional specimen should be tested with MTD. The patient can be presumed not to be infectious if all smear and MTD results are negative. The clinician must rely on clinical judgement in decisions regarding the need for antituberculous therapy and further diagnostic work-up because negative NAA results do not exclude the possibility of active pulmonary TB.
- 3. If the indicated repeat NAA testing fails to verify initial NAA test results, the clinician must rely on clinical judgement in decisions regarding the need for antituberculous therapy, further diagnostic work-up, and isolation.
- 4. Ultimately, the patient's response to therapy and culture results are used to confirm or refute a diagnosis of TB.

Cautions

NAA tests can enhance diagnostic certainty, but they do not replace AFB smear or mycobacterial culture, and they do not replace clinical judgement. Clinicians should interpret these tests based on the clinical situation, and laboratories should perform NAA testing only at the request of the physician and only on selected specimens. Laboratorians should not reserve material from clinical specimens for NAA testing if this compromises the ability to perform the other established tests that have better-defined diagnostic utility and implications. Specificity of NAA tests varies between laboratories as a result of unrecognized procedural differences and differences in cross-contamination rates (4). Multiple specimens from the same patient should not be tested together to reduce risks of methodologic errors. Laboratory directors should provide to clinicians information on the performance of NAA tests in the local setting, including sensitivity and specificity compared with culture for both smear-positive and smear-negative respiratory specimens. Substantial discrepancies can indicate problems with either culture or NAA technique. The number of NAA tests repeated because of failure of negative and positive controls also should be reported. Clinicians should understand the impact that changes in sensitivity, specificity, prevalence of TB, and prevalence of other mycobacterial diseases can have on the predictive value of the NAA test. Information is limited regarding NAA test performance for nonrespiratory specimens, or specimens from treated patients. NAA tests often remain positive after cultures become negative during therapy and can remain positive even after completion of therapy.

References

- 1. CDC. Nucleic acid amplification tests for tuberculosis. MMWR 1996;45:950-1.
- Cohen RA, Muzaffar S, Schwartz D, et al. Diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis using PCR assays on sputum collected within 24 hours of hospital admission. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;157:156–61.
- 3. Jonas V, Acedo M, Clarridge JE, et al. A multi-center evaluation of MTD and culture compared to patient diagnosis [Abstract]. In: Abstracts of the 98th General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, 1998:358 (no. L-31).
- Ridderhoff J, Williams L, Legois S, Bussen M, Metchock L, Kubista R. Assessment of laboratory performance with nucleic acid amplification (NAA) tests for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (M.tb) [Abstract]. In: Abstracts of the 98th General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, 1998:360(no. L-43).

[†] Amplicor is not approved for use with smear-negative samples.



FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending July 1, 2000, with historical data

*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

		Cum. 2000		Cum. 2000
Anthrax		-	HIV infection, pediatric* [§]	98
Brucellosis*		25	Plague	4
Cholera		-	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	-
Congenital rul	pella syndrome	4	Psittacosis*	8
Cyclosporiasis	*	14	Rabies, human	-
Diphtheria		-	Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF)	115
Encephalitis:	California serogroup viral*	2	Streptococcal disease, invasive, group A	1,615
·	eastern equine*	-	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome*	54
	St. Louis*	-	Syphilis, congenital [¶]	67
	western equine*	-	Tetanus	12
Ehrlichiosis	human granulocytic (HGE)*	43	Toxic-shock syndrome	82
	human monocytic (HME)*	17	Trichinosis	4
Hansen diseas	se (leprosy)*	24	Typhoid fever	151
Hantavirus pu	Imonary syndrome**	9	Yellow fever	-
Hemolyticure	mic syndrome, postdiarrheal*	43		

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending July 1, 2000 (26th Week)

-: No reported cases.

*Not notifiable in all states.

¹Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID). ⁵Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for

HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP). Last update May 28, 2000.

¹Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

							Escherichia coli 0157:H7*			/*
	All	DS	Chlan	nydia†	Cryptosp	oridiosis	NET	SS	PH	LIS
Reporting Area	2000 [§]	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999
UNITED STATES	16,820	23,026	282,501	329,623	591	872	1,036	801	590	762
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	1,003 16 13 2 681 41 250	1,109 29 30 6 702 63 279	10,332 675 499 265 4,968 1,207 2,718	10,547 502 497 242 4,434 1,181 3,691	34 9 13 6 2	44 9 5 6 21 - 3	110 7 10 3 51 6 33	118 10 14 52 6 22	100 6 9 4 46 5 30	103 - 15 7 48 7 26
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	4,030 213 2,325 885 607	5,895 726 2,997 1,146 1,026	19,077 N 5,527 3,346 10,204	33,989 N 14,341 6,148 13,500	62 37 7 7 11	183 54 107 14 8	123 98 7 18 N	58 38 4 16 N	64 43 - 13 8	52 4 - 47 1
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	1,641 218 149 1,012 190 72	1,499 246 189 677 308 79	46,479 11,863 5,922 12,753 11,647 4,294	58,499 13,901 5,973 16,014 10,544 12,067	120 23 11 7 28 51	144 19 9 29 20 67	174 40 28 49 36 21	148 51 17 53 27 N	78 25 19 21 13	129 42 17 35 17 18
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	376 79 38 164 - 3 25 67	531 82 52 259 4 11 37 86	16,467 3,282 2,101 5,745 282 865 1,548 2,644	18,930 3,790 2,217 6,864 441 792 1,706 3,120	55 11 15 10 5 7 2	54 13 12 10 4 3 11 1	169 52 33 44 8 7 15 10	141 36 24 13 3 5 48 12	105 41 10 31 6 3 9 5	164 54 15 21 4 14 55 1
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	4,484 78 459 315 327 29 279 326 430 2,241	6,284 80 721 239 335 31 394 579 957 2,948	60,046 1,402 6,158 1,694 7,241 753 11,192 4,870 11,094 15,642	69,646 1,392 6,388 N 7,487 888 11,466 8,873 17,683 15,469	114 4 7 4 3 11 - 58 20	161 - 7 6 10 - 4 - 86 48	85 - 11 - 16 3 17 6 13 19	94 4 7 28 4 22 11 5 13	45 - 1 15 3 6 2 9 9	76 - - 25 2 26 9 U 14
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	805 99 337 213 156	1,028 151 402 255 220	22,803 4,008 7,176 7,009 4,610	21,927 3,850 6,866 5,205 6,006	25 1 7 10 7	10 2 4 2 2	44 17 17 4 6	56 13 24 13 6	26 12 12 2	40 10 16 12 2
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	1,511 94 281 110 1,026	2,475 90 463 71 1,851	43,552 2,628 9,507 3,861 27,556	44,558 3,020 7,279 3,946 30,313	25 1 5 4 15	40 - 21 2 17	52 31 9 12	40 5 7 23	55 3 18 6 28	49 5 6 32
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	582 7 11 2 130 58 193 61 120	852 4 12 3 171 46 422 80 114	18,094 752 930 326 5,353 2,210 5,851 1,240 1,432	17,529 654 846 360 4,156 2,645 6,281 1,039 1,548	39 6 3 11 2 3 9 2	38 7 2 - 4 15 7 N 3	122 12 14 53 53 24 7 2	62 4 2 3 23 3 11 13 3	44 - 2 20 3 18 1 1 -	51 - 5 13 1 6 15 5
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	2,388 247 86 1,987 5 63	3,353 185 87 3,022 13 46	45,651 6,403 2,626 34,392 1,174 1,056	53,998 5,951 3,124 42,406 932 1,585	117 N 8 109 -	198 N 75 123	157 49 25 74 2 7	84 29 19 32 4	73 43 23 - 7	98 38 20 37 - 3
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	13 431 18 -	5 737 15 -	298 - - -	223 U U U U		- U U U	N 4 - -	N 10 U U		U U U U U

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands. * Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS). * Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* Totals reported to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP. * Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last update May 28, 2000.

			ai, 1, 20					
	Gono	rrhea	Hepa Non-A	atitis C; A, Non-B	Legior	nellosis	Ly Dis	yme sease
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999
UNITED STATES	146,864	175,475	1,226	1,894	343	430	2,554	4,015
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I.	2,775 41 52 29 1,299 302	3,185 24 45 28 1,232 304	25 1 - 3 18 3	9 1 - 3 2 3	23 2 2 9 3	26 3 4 7 3	570 35 2 230 42	1,184 1 - 2 329 -77
Conn.	1,052	1,552	-	-	5	6	261	775
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	12,291 3,257 2,322 1,854 4,858	19,736 3,035 6,872 3,650 6,179	32 32 - -	68 33 - - 35	68 31 - 4 33	109 26 14 11 58	1,530 706 4 287 533	2,024 831 57 476 660
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	28,633 6,995 2,729 8,952 8,329 1,628	34,956 8,679 3,194 10,824 7,317 4,942	108 3 1 7 97	1,046 1 27 427 590	81 37 16 8 14 6	138 42 18 18 34 26	31 22 6 1 - 2	274 18 14 10 1 231
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak.	7,110 1,334 465 3,463 6 128	7,953 1,392 492 3,894 42 77	343 5 1 312 -	91 2 - 87 -	25 1 4 16 - 1	22 1 7 10 1	74 24 4 13 -	65 13 8 29 1
Nebr. Kans.	562 1,152	780 1,276	3 22	2	- 3	3	33	7 7
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	43,225 794 4,109 1,190 4,650 227 8,850 5,729 6,819 10,857	52,034 840 5,715 3,085 4,943 293 9,779 4,905 11,559 10,915	60 - 2 1 5 13 1 2 30	107 29 10 13 24 12 1 18	74 4 23 1 8 N 8 2 4 24	51 5 7 13 N 8 7 - 11	285 33 182 1 37 8 9 2 2 13	350 33 245 1 22 8 34 34 3 4
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	16,346 1,677 5,563 5,485 3,621	16,989 1,675 5,377 4,626 5,311	208 17 57 7 127	148 9 44 1 94	11 5 4 2	21 10 9 2	13 2 8 2 1	39 5 14 10 10
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	22,631 1,358 6,666 1,670 12,937	25,056 1,492 5,987 1,973 15,604	274 3 169 4 98	257 14 179 7 57	10 - 8 1 1	2 - 1 1 -	1 - 1 -	13 1 3 4 5
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	4,751 26 48 1,507 490 1,920 125 607	4,746 21 40 1,171 506 2,271 98 627	103 2 3 60 13 10 11 - 4	100 4 34 15 17 18 5 3	18 - 3 1 7 1 2 4	25 - - 4 1 4 10 6	3 - 1 1 - - 1	5 - 1 1 - - 2
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	9,102 1,113 345 7,336 159 149	10,820 1,033 456 8,965 153 213	73 11 16 45 - 1	68 8 52 -	33 11 N 22	36 9 N 26 1	47 - 3 44 - N	61 2 6 53 - N
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	275	31 164 U U U	- 1 - -	- U U U	- - - -	- U U U	- N - -	N U U U

TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,
weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. - : No reported cases.

					Salmonellosis*					
	Mal	aria	Rabies	s, Animal	NE	TSS	PHLIS			
Reporting Area	2000	Cum. 1999	2000	1999	2000	Cum. 1999	2000	1999		
UNITED STATES	476	605	2,556	2,953	13,236	14,830	9,301	14,064		
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	19 4 1 2 6 4 2	24 2 - 1 11 2 8	337 71 4 33 110 21 98	411 75 26 60 91 51 108	843 63 60 55 463 40 162	881 57 45 34 506 49 190	799 38 50 56 443 49 163	916 42 54 37 506 74 203		
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	82 28 29 9 16	166 34 79 34 19	471 326 U 75 70	550 379 U 102 69	1,712 503 390 418 401	2,059 479 586 463 531	1,655 502 515 259 379	1,910 512 623 455 320		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	53 11 3 19 15 5	77 9 8 33 19 8	30 9 1 20	47 11 - 1 25 10	1,991 538 233 605 401 214	2,275 429 188 754 444 460	1,200 423 208 1 416 152	1,987 418 188 729 435 217		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	22 7 1 4 2 - 2 6	23 5 6 10 - - 2	267 48 40 11 74 48 - 46	399 51 63 14 84 120 3 64	947 201 146 329 27 34 64 146	928 220 94 314 15 44 105 136	959 274 94 367 36 37 44 107	1,068 309 84 401 30 62 86 96		
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	133 3 44 8 26 - 11 1 4 36	145 1 47 10 30 1 10 1 12 33	1,094 20 217 57 61 286 65 123 65	1,060 30 231 - 62 213 79 101 79	2,637 41 362 29 352 67 356 251 446 733	2,861 55 342 40 503 43 450 165 452 811	1,667 51 339 U 302 60 237 156 476 46	2,573 65 395 U 461 61 508 153 668 262		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	20 5 5 9 1	12 2 5 4 1	89 12 46 31	137 22 51 64	660 153 174 203 130	794 178 197 229 190	428 107 194 111 16	553 125 214 184 30		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	7 1 2 4	12 2 9 1	35 - - 35 -	62 - 62 -	1,003 188 105 146 564	1,314 167 272 160 715	1,219 105 177 97 840	1,122 76 250 117 679		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	22 1 - 11 - 2 3 4	21 3 1 9 2 2 2 1	110 32 1 26 - 10 38 2 1	101 35 - 29 1 4 31 - 1	1,238 53 68 22 377 102 325 172 119	1,361 28 41 18 398 188 387 212 89	840 14 340 83 267 136	1,284 1 45 21 397 164 342 222 92		
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	118 11 22 82 3	125 10 13 93 - 9	123 2 102 19	186 - 1 179 6 -	2,205 205 160 1,725 26 89	2,357 220 212 1,713 21 191	534 237 191 - 18 88	2,651 424 287 1,765 13 162		
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.		- - U U U	32 - - -	46 U U U	109 - - -	20 274 U U U	U U U U	U U U U		

 TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. * Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).

		Shige	llosis*		Sy	philis	-			
	Cum	SS	P		(Primary 8	k Secondary)	Tube	rculosis		
Reporting Area	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999 ⁺		
UNITED STATES NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	8,063 159 5 3 1 111 12 27	6,669 161 3 7 4 104 14 29	3,994 131 6 86 12 27	3,752 142 - 6 3 92 9 32	2,890 38 - - 31 31 3 4	3,351 31 - 1 2 19 1 8	4,994 187 2 4 116 22 43	7,261 189 10 4 - 104 19 52		
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	997 411 387 120 <i>7</i> 9	453 111 147 121 74	624 146 326 76 76	259 33 119 91 16	111 7 40 23 41	148 13 65 31 39	1,129 122 620 268 119	1,152 141 610 243 158		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	1,745 141 686 431 379 108	1,242 259 53 451 164 315	527 95 51 2 346 33	590 57 23 370 116 24	579 38 218 167 136 20	594 48 190 213 113 30	573 132 35 289 78 39	749 108 52 387 153 49		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	868 189 234 337 4 2 25 77	551 82 7 398 2 8 31 23	603 201 131 221 3 1 9 37	372 95 12 218 2 5 23 17	37 3 10 19 - 2 3	79 7 51 - 4 10	232 77 23 92 2 9 10 19	245 95 26 87 2 3 12 20		
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	1,132 8 60 16 159 3 60 63 121 642	1,084 8 59 30 40 5 113 58 104 667	322 6 23 U 133 3 26 46 36 49	280 3 19 U 20 3 56 30 37 112	967 5 140 31 63 1 290 97 159 181	1,103 4 222 42 89 2 243 139 204 158	1,058 129 7 108 18 152 50 181 413	1,419 20 131 27 121 23 211 169 300 417		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	415 106 205 23 81	674 121 439 60 54	258 44 200 11 3	433 81 318 33 1	447 51 283 56 57	596 52 328 131 85	331 58 123 150	481 98 149 146 88		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	900 104 69 61 666	1,181 47 94 308 732	973 24 72 16 861	479 21 53 94 311	398 47 98 72 181	511 37 129 110 235	149 91 1 57	1,038 80 U 62 896		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	453 4 30 1 78 47 187 35 71	342 6 5 2 53 40 186 26 24	202 - 2 37 22 105 36 -	224 5 1 38 31 113 27 9	104 - 1 2 12 85 - 3	116 - 1 6 102 2 4	232 6 5 29 29 102 22 38	224 5 1 U 26 113 25 54		
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	1,394 314 94 956 7 23	981 52 36 870 - 23	354 279 55 3 17	973 55 32 866 20	209 35 4 169 - 1	173 39 3 129 1 1	1,103 113 865 50 67	1,764 84 57 1,512 30 81		
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- 1 - -	7 53 U U U U		U U U U U	65 - -	1 83 U U U	- - - -	- 103 U U U U		

TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

 0
 0
 0
 0
 U
 Ū

 N: Not notifiable.
 U: Unavailable.
 -: No reported cases.
 -:

	H. influ	ienzae,	Н	epatitis (Vi	ititis (Viral), By Type				Meas	les (Rubeo	la)	
	Inva	sive	A		В		Indige	nous	Impo	rted*	Tota	
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000†	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999
UNITED STATES	629	617	5,281	9,484	3,235	3,376	3	24	-	9	33	57
NEW ENGLAND	45	42	125	115	35	73	-	-	-	2	2	9
N.H.	9	7	16	7	10	8	-	-	-	-	-	1
Vt. Mass.	3 21	4 17	4 55	44	4	27	-	-	-	- 2	-	6
R.I. Conn.	1 10	- 9	7 34	9 50	9	15 22	-	-	-	-	-	2
MID. ATLANTIC	101	109	260	607	336	469	2	3	-	1	4	5
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	50 23	45 34	106 150	127 159	63 194	104 142	2	3	-	-	3	2 3
N.J. Pa.	21 7	27 3	4	78 243	79	68 155	Ū	-	Ū	- 1	- 1	-
E.N. CENTRAL	81	100	665	1,598	341	328	-	6	-	-	6	1
Ohio Ind.	33 11	37 14	143 30	369 58	63 26	47 27	-	2	-	-	2	- 1
III. Mich.	32 5	41 8	238 241	331 797	61 190	231	-	3 1	-	-	3 1	-
Wis.	-	-	13	43	1	23	U	-	U	-	-	-
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	35 16	26 13	587 129	375 33	475 19	140 19	-	2	-	1 1	3 1	-
lowa Mo	- 7	1	49	73 221	21 391	23	-	1	-	-	1	-
N. Dak.	, 1		202	1	2	-	Ū	-	Ū	-	-	-
Nebr.	4	3	18	29	18	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
	/ 171	4 124	107	10	24	3 524	- 1	1	-	-	1	-
Del.	-	-	-	2	- -	04	-	-	-	-	-	-
D.C.	-	33 4	11	34	16	94 12	-	-	-	-	-	-
Va. W. Va.	28 5	12 4	70 43	79 17	75 6	52 14	U -	-	U -	-	-	3
N.C. S.C.	15 8	22 2	90 28	64 19	137 5	117 37	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ga. Fla.	47 24	38 19	92 235	261 258	97 204	58 140	- 1	- 1	-	-	- 1	- 1
E.S. CENTRAL	29	42	224	233	229	237	-	-	-	-	-	2
Ky. Tenn.	11 13	6 21	26 87	44 97	46 107	17 113	-	-	-	-	-	2
Ala. Miss.	4 1	13 2	31 80	36 56	27 49	51 56	- U	-	- U	-	-	-
W.S. CENTRAL	35	41	878	2,801	351	574	-	1	_	-	1	3
Ark. La.	-7	1 11	88 28	23 90	53 50	41 112	-	1	-	-	1	-
Okla. Tex	26 2	27 2	151 611	291 2,397	71 177	73 348	-	-	-	-	-	- 3
MOUNTAIN	69	56	456	724	244	315	-	9	-	1	10	1
Mont. Idaho	- 3	1 1	2 17	12 28	3	16 17	U	-	U	-	-	-
Wyo.	1	1	6	4 138	2	7	U	- 1	U	- 1	- 2	-
N. Mex.	14	13 27	41	29	60	97 90	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	6	2	34	28	14	20	-	3	-	-	3	-
Nev.	1	2 67	32	09 2 126	21 619	31 716	-	5	-	-	5	- 22
Wash.	3	2	139	163	39	33	-	-	-	-	-	5
Calif.	24	23 35	1,174	1,814	521	604	-	1	-	2	3	16
Alaska Hawaii	16	5 2	8 -	4 12	ь 5	11 8	-	1 -	-	2	1 2	- 1
Guam	-	-	-	2	-	2	U	-	U	-	-	1
V.I.	-	Ű	-	U	-	U	Ü	-	Ü	-	-	Ü
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	-	U	-	U U	-	U U	U	-	U	-	-	U U

TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. - : No reported cases. *For imported measles, cases include only those resulting from importation from other countries. *Of 130 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 58 and of those, 15 were type b.

	Mening Dis	gococcal ease		Mumps		Pertussis				Rubella			
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum.	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum.		
UNITED STATES	1,190	1,390	2	191	203	53	2,379	2,901	3	57	154		
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	74 6 9 2 44 5 8	69 5 9 4 41 2 8	- - - - -	2 - - 1 1	4 - 1 - 3 -	3 - 1 1 1 -	605 14 62 136 355 9 29	309 53 15 224 8 9	1 - - - -	6 - 2 - 3 - 1	7 - - 7 - -		
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	116 36 25 24 31	138 36 41 29 32	- - - U	9 6 - 3	26 5 6 1 14	- - - U	183 109 - 74	582 495 15 15 57	- - - U	2 2 - -	20 13 2 2 3		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	210 50 27 50 64 19	247 93 32 65 31 26	- - - - U	23 7 5 11	27 7 3 7 8 2	8 4 - 4 U	274 167 27 21 28 31	239 114 14 50 22 39	1 - 1 - U	1 - - 1 -	2 - 1 1 - -		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	103 7 19 60 2 5 5 5 5	139 29 26 51 3 8 8 14	- - - U - -	12 - 5 1 - 2 4	8 1 3 - - 3	8 6 1 - U - 1	131 66 22 23 1 3 3 13	106 33 20 27 4 3 19	- - - - - - -	1 - - - - 1	80 - 25 2 - 53 -		
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	195 - 18 - 31 8 30 15 32 61	213 4 34 1 26 4 27 28 41 48	- - - - - - - -	32 - - 5 - 4 10 2 4	35 - 4 2 8 - 8 3 1 9	4 - - - 2 - 1	187 4 43 1 20 - 49 19 20 31	142 - 44 - 13 1 35 8 16 25	- - - - - - - -	32 - - 23 7 - 2	20 - - - - 19 - - -		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	85 18 37 25 5	104 19 38 28 19	- - - U	6 - 2 2 2	6 - 4 2	6 2 3 1 U	43 19 13 10 1	53 12 26 13 2	- - - U	4 1 - 3 -	2 - 2 -		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	86 8 27 21 30	141 25 50 21 45	- - -	20 1 3 16	24 5 1 18	4 - - 4	115 10 3 96	76 7 4 8 57		4 - - 4	4 - - 4		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	66 1 - 24 7 18 7 3	87 2 8 3 22 11 28 8 5	U - - - - -	14 1 1 1 3 4 3	9 - - 3 N - 2 3	9 U 4 5 -	399 8 42 1 220 73 40 9 6	353 2 99 2 127 30 60 31 2	1 U - - 1 -	2 - - 1 - 1 - -	15 - - - 13 1 1		
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	255 31 35 179 4 6	252 38 47 157 6 4	2 - N 2 -	73 3 N 60 7 3	64 2 N 55 1 6	11 4 7 - -	442 178 53 197 8 6	1,041 501 20 496 3 21		5 - 5 - -	4 - 4 -		
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- 5 - -	1 12 U U U	U - U U U		1 U U U	U - U U U		1 12 U U U	U - U U U		- U U U		

TABLE III. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending July 1, 2000, and July 3, 1999 (26th Week)

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable.

- : No reported cases.

	4	All Cau	ses, By	Age (Y	ears)		P&I [†]		All Causes, By Age (Years)						P&I⁺
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Mas. New Haven, Conn Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass Waterbury, Conn. Worcester, Mass.	471 163 33 13 17 49 18 55. 20 53 0 7 7 33 54	343 112 23 12 14 34 13 10 17 44 0 6 U 19 39	85 32 9 1 2 11 3 - 2 5 U 1 U 9 10	22 7 1 - 2 1 - 4 U 3 4	12 6 - 1 1 1 1 - U 2 -	96 - 11 - U - U - 1	36 11 3 - 4 1 1 1 6 U 4 U 2 3	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, F Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, De E.S. CENTRAL Birminghom AU	976 U 198 99 . 107 58 66 61a. U 185 C. 99 I. U 813 . 126	610 U 116 62 57 837 43 50 U 127 50 568	207 U 41 22 30 15 9 18 11 U 36 25 U 152	95 U 28 4 11 9 11 5 4 U 13 0 U 80 2	42 U 8 8 6 1 1 6 1 U 5 6 U 26 3	22 U 5 3 3 3 - 1 - U 4 3 U 15 4	67 U 14 6 7 6 3 4 7 U 19 1 U 48
MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.§	2,101 54 U 75 25 23 42	1,480 44 U 55 16 15 30	399 5 U 11 5 7	145 4 U 6 2 1 4	37 U 1 1 1	40 1 2 2 1	90 5 U 5 - 1	Chattanooga, Te Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, A Nashville, Tenn.	a. 126 nn. 72 96 83 . 174 49 Ia. 53 160	83 49 81 52 127 30 32 106	24 13 11 23 30 10 9 32	12 6 1 9 8 8 12	2 4 3 4 1 3 6	5 - 1 4 - 1 4	11 6 4 5 7 1 3 11
Jersey City, N.J. New York City, N.Y Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	52 52 1,122 25 368 52 32 110 U 30 U 17 15	38 786 U 17 237 26 87 U 24 44 U 15 9	8 229 U 4 78 8 3 15 U 4 10 U 2 5	2 75 U 2 3 5 1 6 U 2 3 U - 1	2 14 U 1 1 2 2 1 U - 1 U -	2 18 U 1 1 1 U - 1 U -	- 38 U 2 14 4 4 8 U 4 5 U - -	W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La Corpus Christi, T Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La San Antonio, Te Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	1,423 76 . 49 Fex. U 194 70 98 441 50 . 84 x. 190 65 106	887 41 36 U 125 44 69 255 30 36 128 46 77	294 15 9 U 36 15 10 107 14 21 37 12 18	134 12 4 U 19 6 11 47 4 9 15 2 5	705 - U81 423 156 34	34 3 U 6 2 4 9 1 1 4 2 2	91 3 U 10 3 5 38 4 7 11 2 5
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind. Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Mid. Lansing, Mich. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio	1,970 45 34 449 54 141 184 184 184 184 197 46 66 197 127 15 127 15 127 41 45 75 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	1,304 30 29 264 29 89 119 90 128 30 40 12 32 132 132 29 36 66 0 0	391 2 107 13 37 16 42 7 17 3 6 8 1 30 5 7 6 U	154 2 1 46 6 11 8 7 26 1 7 2 2 15 - 12 4 1 1 0 -	50 1 13 4 2 7 6 3 2 2 1 3 4 - 1 - 1 U	61 2 2 17 2 6 5 2 8 - 1 1 8 - 2 3 1 1 U	131 355 5615 4 16 2 2 1 3 8 1 9 3 1 1 U	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N Boise, Idaho Colo. Springs, C Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, U Tucson, Ariz. PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawa Long Beach, Cal Los Angeles, Cal Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Orea.	872 .M. 98 32 olo. 43 103 161 35 136 33 tah 104 127 1,218 14 136 U ii 75 if. 64 lif. U U 118	599 70 22 31 69 114 22 83 77 9 101 56 47 U 85	160 15 6 6 18 30 5 33 3 21 20 5 32 20 5 32 20 5 32 20 5 32 20 5 32 20 5 20 5	67 8 1 3 11 12 4 12 3 7 6 8 1 6 U 4 3 U U 8	29 4 3 2 3 3 2 4 - 4 4 33 - 4 U - 3 U U 2	17 1 - 1 2 2 2 4 - 4 1 29 1 3 U 2 3 U U 1	70 94 10 1132 125 982 - U59 UU1
Youngstown, Ohi W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Min Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans.	o 60 723 57 33 36 94 30 n. 156 74 89 91 63	47 515 48 24 24 61 25 115 52 51 72 43	11 138 6 7 7 17 4 27 17 27 17 27 14	2 32 1 2 8 1 7 2 7 2 2	- 25 - 3 7 - 5 2 2 2 2 3	- 13 2 1 - 1 2 1 2 1 3	3 3991 -4468 -52	Sacramento, Cai San Diego, Calif San Francisco, C San Jose, Calif, Santa Cruz, Calif Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	lif. 152 . 171 alif. U 156 f. 35 135 63 99 10,567 ¹	111 122 U 115 29 85 39 80 7,177	25 31 U 22 5 27 17 11 2,031	7 10 U 8 1 13 4 4 778	3 4 U 5 - 8 1 3 324	5 4 U 6 - 2 2 - 240	15 U 16 3 7 11 7 678

TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week endingJuly 1, 2000 (26th Week)

U: Unavailable. -:No reported cases. *Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. *Pneumonia and influenza. *Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. *Total includes unknown ages.

Notice to Readers

Federal Register Notice on Draft Public Health Action Plan to Combat Antimicrobial Resistance

The Draft Public Health Action Plan to Combat Antimicrobial Resistance became available for public comment on June 22, 2000. Comments must be submitted in writing by August 4, 2000, to the Office of Health Communication, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC, Mailstop C-14, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; fax, (404) 371-5489; e-mail, aractionplan@cdc.gov; or the World-Wide Web, http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/actionplan/.

Requests for copies of the plan should be submitted to the Office of Health Communication, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC, Mailstop C-14, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; fax, (404) 371-5489; e-mail, ncid@cdc.gov; or the Web, http:// www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/actionplan/. Copies can be downloaded from the Web site.

Contributors to the Production of the MMWR (Weekly)

Weekly Notifiable Disease Morbidity Data and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Samuel L. Groseclose, D.V.M., M.P.H.

State Support Team Robert Fagan Jose Aponte Paul Gangarosa, M.P.H. Gerald Jones David Nitschke Scott Noldy **CDC Operations Team** Carol M. Knowles Deborah A. Adams Willie J. Anderson Patsy A. Hall Pearl Sharp Carol A. Worsham

Informatics T. Demetri Vacalis, Ph.D.

Michele D. Renshaw

Erica R. Shaver

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov.* The body content should read SUBscribe mmwr-toc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at http://www.cdc.gov/ or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp.cdc.gov. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (888) 232-3228.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H.	Acting Director, Epidemiology Program Office Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H.	Writers-Editors, <i>MMWR</i> (Weekly) Jill Crane David C. Johnson					
Deputy Director for Science and Public Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention David W. Fleming, M.D.	Editor, <i>MMWR</i> Series John W. Ward, M.D. Acting Managing Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (Weekly) Caran R. Wilbanks	Desktop Publishing Michael T. Brown Lynda G. Cupell Morie M. Higgins					
☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2000-533-206/28024 Region IV							